within experimental error, with the exception of the O atom of the - NOH group which shows somewhat greater deviation from the mean plane $[0.036$ (9) $\AA$ ]. This may be attributed to the involvement of this atom in the hydrogen bond $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Ol}) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(3^{i i}\right)$ (see Table 3). The bond distances $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$, $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ and $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ have pronounced double-bond character [ $>\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N} 1 \cdot 322$ (3), $>\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ 1-337 (6), $>\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ 1.23(1) $\AA$; International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, 1968, Vol. III] and indicate a 1,4 -quinone oximic structure. The observed bond distances are also in very good agreement with the corresponding ones found in related compounds, e.g. in 2,5-dimethyl-p-benzoquinone $\left[\begin{array}{lll}> & C=O & 1.223(3),\end{array}>\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C} \quad 1.347\right.$ (3) $\AA$; Hirshfeld \& Rabinovich, 1967] and in $\beta-5-n-$ propoxy-1,2-quinone 2-oxime [ $>\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} \quad 1 \cdot 270$ ( 8 ), $>$ C-N 1-319 (8) $\AA$; Romers, 1964].

Fig. 2 shows the molecular packing of the crystal. Two kinds of intermolecular hydrogen bonds $[\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Ol}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(3)$ and $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{O} 2) \cdots \mathrm{O}(W)]$ have been confirmed in the structure (see Table 3). In addition the very short contact distances $\mathrm{O}(W) \cdots \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{O}(W) \cdots \mathrm{O}(3)[2.981$ (6) and 2.844 (6) $\AA$, respectively] indicate the involvement of the water molecule in the formation of the hydrogen bonds
$\mathrm{O}(W)-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{O}(W)-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}(3)$, which contribute further to the stabilization of the structure.
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#### Abstract

M_{r}=1018 \cdot 02\), triclinic, $P \overline{1}, a=8.201$ (1), $b=9.952$ (2),$\quad c=7.995$ (2) $\AA, \quad \alpha=103.05(2), \quad \beta=$ 104.37 (1) $, \gamma=90.08(1)^{c}, V=614.7$ (2) $\AA^{3}, \quad Z=2$, $D_{x}=5.500 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \quad \lambda($ Mo $K \alpha)=0.71069 \AA, \quad \mu=$ $193.17 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, F(000)=840, T=293 \mathrm{~K}$, final $R=$ 0.061 for 2560 observed reflections. To a first approximation, every Te atom is surrounded by two
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Te atoms and two I atoms; the four-coordinate geometries about Te atoms are square planar and trigonal bipyramidal with a distant equatorial electron pair. There are several $\mathrm{Te} \cdots \mathrm{I}$ and $\mathrm{I} \cdots \mathrm{I}$ interactions between neighbouring $\mathrm{Te}_{4} \mathrm{I}_{4}$ molecules, forming some chains along the $a$ and $b$ axes, respectively.

Experimental. $\mathrm{Te}_{4} \mathrm{I}_{4}$ was prepared by direct combination of elements. Co and Te powders ( $99 \cdot 8$ and $99.999 \%$ respectively, Shanghai Chemicals Supply

Service Station) and Ta powder ( $99.98 \%$, Zhuzhou Hard Alloy Plant) were mixed in the ratio Co: Ta: Te $=1: 1: 2$ and pressed to a pellet. The pellet and an excess ( $\sim 30 \%$ by weight) of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ ( $99.99 \%$, Beijing Chemicals Factory) as a transport agent were loaded in an evacuated $(\sim 0.0133 \mathrm{~Pa})$ silica tube ( $\varnothing 6 \times$ 130 mm ) and then heated at 1223 K for seven days. The reaction tube was cooled at $75 \mathrm{Kh}^{-1}$ to room temperature. Some small black needle-like crystals formed and there was no evidence of attack on the silica during the synthesis. The composition of this crystal was verified by standardless electron spectrum analysis on a JEOL scanning electron microscope after data collection by X-ray single-crystal diffraction. The analytical result shown in Table 1 agrees well with that from the crystal-structure refinement.

A black needle-like crystal was found as a product of the high-temperature reaction. Cell dimensions and crystal-orientation matrix determined on an AFC5R diffractometer by a least-squares treatment of the setting angles of 12 reflections in the range 18 $<\theta<22^{\circ}$. Crystal dimensions $0.07 \times 0.07 \times$ 0.25 mm ; intensities of reflections with indices $h 0$ to $12, k-15$ to $15, l-12$ to 11 with $2 \theta<68^{\circ}$ measured; $\theta-2 \theta$ scans; $\omega$-scan width ( $1 \cdot 500+0 \cdot 300 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$, graphite-monochromatized Mo $K \alpha$ radiation. Intensities of three reflections measured every 150 reflections showed no evidence of crystal decay. 5572 reflections measured, 5051 unique. 2560 with $I>$ $3 \sigma(I)$ were used in structure solution and refinement; $R_{\text {int }}=0.055$. Data corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors and absorption effects DIFABS (Walker \& Stuart, 1983). Max. and min. transmission factors 1.197 and 0.841 . Gaussian integration grid $6 \times 6 \times 6$.

The crystal structure was solved by a combination of the heavy-atom and direct methods. Refinement was by full-matrix least-squares calculations. The final cycle of refinement included 73 variable parameters, $R=0.061, w R=0.074$, goodness-of-fit $=1.64$, $w=1 / \sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}\right)$. Max. shift/e.s.d. $=0.0002$ and the final residual electron density was $+5 \cdot 47(-8 \cdot 27)$ e $\AA^{-3}$; no chemically significant features. Scattering factors of these atoms were taken from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV). All calculations were made on a MicroVAX II computer using the TEXSAN (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1985) software package. Atomic coordinates and details of the molecular geometry are given in Tables 2 and 3,* respectively. Fig. 1 shows an infinite chain structure in the title crystal.

[^1]Table 1. The result of standardless analysis for $\mathrm{Te}_{4} \mathrm{I}_{4}$ (ZAF correction, $25.0 \mathrm{kV}, 305 \mathrm{~K}$ )

| Element | $K$ ratio | $Z$ | $A$ | $F$ | atom \% | wt \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Te- $L$ | 0.491 | 1.013 | 1.007 | 1.000 | 49.60 | 50.08 |
| I-L | 0.502 | 0.989 | 1.349 | 1.000 | 49.10 | 49.31 |
| Co- $L$ | 0.005 | 0.871 | 1.272 | 1.000 | 1.30 | 0.60 |

Table 2. Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$
$B_{\mathrm{cq}}=(4 / 3)\left[a^{2} \beta_{11}+b^{2} \beta_{22}+c^{2} \beta_{33}+(2 a b \cos \gamma) \beta_{12}+(2 a c \cos \beta) \beta_{13}\right.$ $\left.+(2 b c \cos \alpha) \beta_{23}\right]$.

|  | $\boldsymbol{x}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{cq}}$ |
| $\mathrm{I}(1)$ | $-0.3095(2)$ | $0.0676(1)$ | $-0.2997(2)$ | $2.08(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{I}(2)$ | $-0.1566(2)$ | $0.1715(1)$ | $0.3023(2)$ | $2.01(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{I}(3)$ | $0.3190(2)$ | $0.5664(1)$ | $0.2882(2)$ | $2.12(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{I}(4)$ | $0.1826(2)$ | $0.4613(1)$ | $-0.2871(2)$ | $2.21(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $0.0046(2)$ | $0.1931(1)$ | $0.0043(2)$ | $1.57(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | $0.3147(2)$ | $0.2884(1)$ | $0.2727(2)$ | $1.65(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(3)$ | $0.4776(2)$ | $0.1999(1)$ | $0.0008(2)$ | $1.69(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4)$ | $0.1848(2)$ | $0.1898(1)$ | $-0.2690(2)$ | $1.70(4)$ |

Table 3. Interatomic distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$

| $\mathrm{I}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(1) \quad 3.101$ (2) | $\mathrm{I}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 3.043 (2) I(3)-T | 2.741 (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{I}(4)-\mathrm{Te}(4) \quad 2.738$ (2) | $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | 2.888 (2) $\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | (4) 2.921 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(3) 2.805$ (2) | $\mathrm{Te}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(4)$ | 2.786 (2) $\mathrm{I}(1 a) \cdots \mathrm{T}$ | 3.349 (2) |
| $\mathrm{I}(1 b) \cdots \mathrm{Te}(2) 3.599$ (2) | $\mathrm{I}(2 a) \cdots \mathrm{Te}(3)$ | 3.407 (2) I(2b) $\cdots$ | 3.548 (2) |
| $\mathrm{I}(3) \cdots \mathrm{I}(1 d) \quad 3.624$ (2) | $\mathrm{I}(4) \cdots \mathrm{I}(2 d)$ | 3.688 (2) |  |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(4)$ | 89.24 (6) | $\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 87.77 (5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | 174.48 (6) | $\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | 173.47 (6) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | $87 \cdot 40$ (5) | $\mathrm{I}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{l}(1)$ | $95 \cdot 11$ (5) |
| $\mathrm{I}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(3)$ | 99.76 (6) | $\mathrm{I}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $100 \cdot 97$ (6) |
| $\mathrm{I}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \cdots \mathrm{I}(1 b)$ | $174 \cdot 16$ (6) | $\mathrm{Te}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 86.11 (5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \cdots \mathrm{I}(1 b)$ | 85.39 (5) | $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(2) \cdots \mathrm{I}(1 b)$ | 82.03 (5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Te}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(2)$ | 93.73 (6) | $\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Te}(3) \cdots \mathrm{l}(1 a)$ | 90.47 (5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Te}(3) \cdots \mathrm{I}(2 a)$ | 172.76 (6) | $\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(3) \cdots \mathrm{I}(1 a)$ | 173.63 (6) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{Te}(3) \cdots \mathrm{I}(2 a)$ | 91.08 (5) | $1(1 a) \cdots \mathrm{Te}(3) \cdots \mathrm{I}(2 a)$ | 84.31 (5) |
| $\mathrm{I}(4)-\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Te}(3)$ | 99.89 (6) | $\mathrm{I}(4)-\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | 101.34 (6) |
| $\mathrm{I}(4)-\mathrm{Te}(4) \cdots \mathrm{I}(2 b)$ | 172.73 (6) | $\mathrm{Te}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{Te}(1)$ | $85 \cdot 82$ (5) |
| $\mathrm{Te}(3)-\mathrm{Te}(4) \cdots \mathrm{I}(2 b)$ | 86.74 (5) | $\mathrm{Te}(1)-\mathrm{Te}(4) \cdots \mathrm{I}(2 b)$ | 82.01 (5) |
| $\mathrm{I}(1 b) \cdots \mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | $174 \cdot 19$ (6) | $\mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{l}(3) \cdots \mathrm{I}(1 d)$ | 177.95 (6) |
| $\mathrm{I}(2 b) \cdots \mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{I}(4)$ | 172.86 (6) | $\mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{I}(4) \cdots \mathrm{I}(2 d)$ | 176.46 (6) |
| Symmetry codes:$\begin{aligned} & \text { (a) } 1+x, y, z ;(b)-x,-y,-z ;(c)-1+x, \\ & y, z ;(d)-x, 1-y,-z . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

Related literature. In our attempt to synthesize new ternary chalcogenides, $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{Ta}-\mathrm{Te}$ with a layer structure, the element Te and the transport agent $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ were reacted at high temperature and the title compound was formed. $\mathrm{Te}_{4} \mathrm{I}_{4}$ was also obtained from $\mathrm{Te}_{2.5} \mathrm{I}$ in 10 M HI solution at 468 K and the crystal structure has been described as $\alpha$-TeI (Kniep, Mootz \& Rabenau, 1976). Our synthetic method is by contrast a solid-state reaction at 1223 K and our crystal data are of a better quality: mean e.s.d.'s of bond distances and angles are $0.002 \AA$ and $0.06^{\circ}$ here, compared with $0.004 \AA$ and $0.1^{\circ}$ in the earlier study. The Te-I bond lengths, $2 \cdot 738-3.101 \AA$, are the same as, or longer than, the $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{I}$ covalent bond length ( $2.86 \AA$ ) (Pauling, 1960 ; McWhinnie \& Monsef-


Fig. 1. Interaction among $\mathrm{Te}_{4} \mathrm{I}_{4}$ molecules.
Mirzai, 1983). The range of $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{I}$ bond lengths was found in $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{TeI}_{3}$ (McCullough \& Knobler, 1976). As shown in Fig. 1, along the $a$ axis one $\mathrm{Te}_{4} \mathrm{I}_{4}$ molecule is connected to another two molecules through the contacts $\mathrm{Te}(3) \cdots \mathrm{I}(1 a)$ and $\mathrm{Te}(3 c) \cdots \mathrm{I}(1)$ ( $3.349 \AA$ ) and the contacts $\mathrm{Te}(3) \cdots \mathrm{I}(2 a)$ and $\mathrm{Te}(3 c) \cdots \mathrm{I}(2)(3 \cdot 407 \AA)$, forming an infinite chain. Along the $b$ axis one $\mathrm{Te}_{4} \mathrm{I}_{4}$ molecule is connected to a further two molecules through the contacts $\mathrm{Te}(2) \cdots \mathrm{I}(1 b), \quad \mathrm{Te}(4) \cdots \mathrm{I}(2 b), \quad \mathrm{Te}(4 b) \cdots \mathrm{I}(2) \quad$ and $\mathrm{Te}(2 b) \cdots \mathrm{I}(1)$ and the contacts $\mathrm{I}(3) \cdots \mathrm{I}(1 d), \mathrm{I}(4) \cdots \mathrm{I}(2 d)$, $\mathrm{I}(2) \cdots \mathrm{I}(4 d)$ and $\mathrm{I}(1) \cdots \mathrm{I}(3 d)$, forming four infinite
linear chains $\quad[$ e.g. $\quad \cdots \mathrm{I}(1 b) \cdots \mathrm{Te}(2)-\mathrm{I}(3) \cdots \mathrm{I}(1 d) \cdots$, $\cdots \mathrm{I}(2 b) \cdots \mathrm{Te}(4)-\mathrm{I}(4) \cdots \mathrm{I}(2 d) \cdots]$ through the structure.
If the interaction between Te and I is considered significant, then the geometry around different Te atoms may be considered as two kinds of four coordination. The symmetry about the $\mathrm{Te}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Te}(3)$ atoms was found to be the cis-planar form, the square-planar form for $\mathrm{Te}(1)$ being regular ( $2 \cdot 888$ $2 \cdot 921 \AA$ for two Te-Te bonds, 3.043-3.101 $\AA$ for two $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{I}$ bonds), but the square-planar form for $\mathrm{Te}(3)$ is quite distorted ( $2.786-2.805 \AA$ for two $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Te}$ bonds, $3 \cdot 349-3.407 \AA$ for two $\mathrm{Te} \cdots$ I contacts). However, the coordination around the $\mathrm{Te}(2)$ and $\mathrm{Te}(4)$ atoms approximates a trigonal bipyramid with a vacant equatorial site: two I atoms axial, two Te atoms equatorial.

The authors would like to thank Professor John R. Helliwell and the Crystallographic Laboratory at the University of Manchester for providing the facilities needed in our structure refinements.
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# Structure of Hexagonal Copper(I) Ferrite 
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Abstract. $\quad 2 H-\mathrm{CuFeO}_{2}, \quad M_{r}=151 \cdot 39, \quad$ hexagonal,
$P 6_{3} / m m c, \quad a=3 \cdot 035(1), \quad c=11 \cdot 449(3) \AA, \quad V=$
$91 \cdot 33 \AA^{3}, \quad Z=2, \quad D_{x}=5 \cdot 50 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, \lambda(\mathrm{Mo} K \alpha)=$
$0 \cdot 71073 \AA, \mu=18.6 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, F(000)=142$, room tem-
$00108-2701 / 91 / 122644-03 \$ 03.00$
perature, $R(F)=0.033$ for 173 independent reflections with $F_{o}>3 \sigma\left(F_{o}\right)$ and 9 variables. Edge sharing $\mathrm{FeO}_{6}$ octahedra [point symmetry $\overline{3} m, \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}=$ 2.028 (1) $\AA$ ] form brucite-like layers in ( 00.1 ) which
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